UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,390	12/12/2003	Ramin Oliver Assadollahi	Assadollahi PIM	9756
33525 7590 02/23/2009 JONATHAN D. FEUCHTWANG 2912 BRITTAN AVE SAN CARLOS, CA 94070			EXAMINER	
			ALVESTEFFER, STEPHEN D	
SAN CARLOS	, CA 940/0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/734,390	ASSADOLLAHI, OLIVER	RAMIN
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Alvesteffer	2175	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
1) <u>Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record</u> .	(3) <u>Jonathan Feuchtwang,</u>	Reg.#41,017, for	applicant.
2) William Bashore, supervisory primary examiner.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>10 February 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)⊠ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Greene (US 6,377,925)</u>	and Dumais (US 2004/02677	<u>′00)</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative it from the cited prior art of record</u> . <u>Discussed amendments distinguish from the cited prior art of record</u> . <u>Further search receipt of an official request for continued examination</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend	e described the invention and sthat might overcome the 35 of and consideration of argume ments which the examiner against the standard consideration of argume ments which the examiner against the standard consideration of argume ments which the examiner against the standard consideration and the standard cons	the features that USC 101 rejection ents will be made reed would rende	distinguish ons and upon er the claims
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached		ould render the	claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS
	/William L. Bashore/		

Application No.

Applicant(s)